PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 5 DEC 2005

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PKAUF-001	FOR FURTHER ACTIO		e Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date (day)		Priority date (day/month/year) 08.10.2003		
PCT/BR2004/000190 04.10.2004			08.10.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) of G01S5/14	or national classification and IPC				
Applicant KAUFMANN, Pierre					
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indication	ns relating to the following iter	ms:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-estab	lishment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
applicabilit	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	cuments cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain ob	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	s report		
05.08.2005		05.12.2005			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Agents framework		
European Patent Office NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3010) Tx: 31 651 epo n1	Niemeijer, R Telephone No. +31 70 3	340-1038		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/BR2004/000190

_	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	With regard	I to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was so therwise indicated under this item.		
	 □ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) 			
	 □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 			
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description, Pages			
	1-31	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-33	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed		
	□ a sec	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	□ th	e description, pages e claims, Nos.		
	☐ th	ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
	□ a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4	had not b Supplem	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
	□ ti	ne description, pages ne claims, Nos.		
	□ +1	ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
	□а	iny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If .	item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-13,15-21,23-33

No: Claims

1,14,22

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

13,17,25-32

No: Claims

1-12,14-16,18-24,33

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-33

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 The following document is referred to in this communication: D1: US-B1-6 313 790 (CHENG DAVID C ET AL) 6 November 2001 (2001-11-06)
- Applicant has submitted arguments against novelty of D1, in which it is stated that the solution in D1 cannot obtain a tetrahedron. in fact, the solution in D1 is based on determining the lateral edges a_1 , a_2 and a_3 of a tetrahedron which is defined by its vertices R_1 , R_2 , R_3 (fixed base stations) and R_0 (satellite). Other than "to calculate ... lateral edges", the subject-matter of claim 1 does not contain any further technical features on how the positions are derived using these "lateral edges". Therefore claim 1 is not novel over D1.
- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1,22
- 3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.2 Document D1 discloses a space positioning system, comprising (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
 - i. <u>a first, a second, and a third base</u>, which are fixed in relation to the earth, but spaced away and disaligned in relation to each other and each having a previously known location (D1: Figure 1, R_1 , R_2 and R_3 ; column 3, lines 7-13);
 - ii. a space platform, visible from the fixed bases and which moves to successive positions, as a function of time, according to a trajectory that is inclined in relation to the rotation axis of the earth (D1: Figure 1, satellite 12; column 4, lines 27-29);
 - iii. <u>a transmitter</u>, operatively associated with each of the parts defined by the fixed bases and the space platform in order to emit pulses in a determined frequency,

- each pulse in a predetermined reference instant (D1: column 3, lines 45-50);
- iv. <u>a receiver</u> operatively associated with each fixed base and with the transmitter, in order to receive said pulses in a trajectory covering the distance between the space platform and the fixed base associated with the receiver (D1: column 3, line 52); and
- v. <u>a control unit</u> which is operatively connected to both the transmitter and the receiver, in order to calculate, for each pulse emission instant, the lateral edges of a tetrahedron (D1: lateral edges are a_1 , a_2 , a_3 ; see column 5, lines 43-53; **see point 2 above**), whose vertices are defined by the three fixed bases and by the space platform (D1: vertices are R_0 , R_1 , R_2 and R_3 ; column 3, lines 19-21), based upon the determination of the propagation time of each pulse, in said trajectory, between the space platform and each fixed base (D1: column 3, lines 55-61) in order to allow determining a respective extension of the trajectory of the space platform, while the latter is visible by the fixed bases (D1: column 6, line 65 column 11, line 44).

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is therefore not new...

- 3.3 The objections set out in point 3.2 apply, mutatis mutandis, to corresponding independent process claim 22.
- 4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-12, 14-16, 18-21, 23, 24, 33

Dependent claims 2-12, 14-16, 18-21, 23, 24, 33 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).